UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS - EL PASO DIVISION

vs.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Case Number: EP:20-CR-01510(1)-KC LS
	Sanuel Flores Gendant
	rdance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendage trial in this case.
	Part I - Findings of Fact
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is:
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses
	 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elasped since the described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternate Findings (A)
	(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense.
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	Alternate Findings (B)
X	(1) There is serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
	(2) There is serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
of the Mr. Fl	find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence a preponderance evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions of release that will assure the presence of the defendant as required because: pres poses a danger to the community given the nature of the threat described in the criminal complaint, and the means by which Mr. Flores could conceivably carry it en his travels as a truck driver and ownership of and/or access to an assault rifle(s).
	Part III - Directions Regarding Detention
from p defens	fendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correctional facility separate, to the extent practicab ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation we counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver that to the U.S. Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a Court proceeding.
	12th day of August, 2020
*Insert	Date LEON SCHYDLOWER, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act

of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).